

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/325,143	09/325,143 06/03/1999		CHIN-HUI LEE	LEE22-1	2458
27964	7590	02/03/2004		EXAMINER	
HITT GA	INES P.C.		GAUTHIER, GERALD		
P.O. BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2645	24
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
*Advisory Action	09/325,143	LEE, CHIN-HUI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gerald Gauthier	2645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h	
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cancel</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <sub>-</sub>	<del>.</del>	

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant states on page 2 last paragraph according to the MPEP 715.04 last paragraph that if a joint inventor is unavailable, signatures from the remaining inventors are sufficient for the 1.131 Affidavit.

The arguments of counsel cannot take place of evidence of the record to show the unavalability of the inventor, rather factual evidence that show why the inventor is unavailable to sign the 1,131 Affidavit therefore the 1.131 affidavit will not be considered.

FAN TSANG
SUPERINDENT PATENT EXAMINER
144 PARAMAN CONTER 2600

2